## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/561,709	KRAUS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
GARY GRAHAM	3727

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) Gary K. Graham.	(3)	
(2) Edward R. Lawson Jr.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 October 2011.		
Type:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.	
Issues Discussed 1101 112 1102 1103 101t (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and deta		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreemer reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argun		
Examiner proposed clarifying language for claim 1 to esta about. Such appears the intent of the defined axis. See E		
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.		
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the su the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71: general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	3.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the	
☐ Attachment		
/Gary K Graham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727		